

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/089,518	09/12/2002	David I. Bransby	N1365-004	9267	
32905	7590 09/07/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
JONDLE & ASSOCIATES P.C. 9085 EAST MINERAL CIRCLE			ALVO, N	ALVO, MARC S	
SUITE 200	IIIVERAE CIRCEE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CENTENNIAL, CO 80112			1731		

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/089,5	18	BRANSBY ET AL.	7			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	•	Art Unit				
		Steve Alv	·	1731				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
	,							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	, <u> </u>							
Applicati	on Papers							
10) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country the oath or declaration is objected to by the] accepted or b) o the drawing(s) b orrection is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment	(s)							
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S No(s)/Mail Date <u>2-7-03</u> .		4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/089,518

Art Unit: 1731

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1 and 40-48 in the reply filed on June 8, 2004 is acknowledged.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1, 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over ELEVITCH et al.

ELEVITCH et al teaches using planting Nitrogen Fixing Trees (NFT's) for use as fodder, fuel and pulp wood. The species include the genus *Albizia*, the species *mimosa scabrella* (see the Charts on pages 6 and 7, and the Table on page 9) and *mimosa caesalpininiaefolia* (see Chart on page 8). It is noted that most of the species disclosed on page 6 are from the *Mimosoideae* Family. See, page 3, column 1 for harvesting leaves or pods from NFT's and using them for fodder (biomass). See the bottom Table on page 6 for using the Genus "*Alibizia*" from the *Mimosoideae* Family as pulpwood. If necessary, the branches used as fodder on page 3 were obviously harvested as they appear to be cut from the tree and used for animal food.

Application/Control Number: 10/089,518

Art Unit: 1731

Claims 1, 40, 41 and 44-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ELEVITCH et al for the reasons set forth above in view of Duke (Handbook of Energy Crops).

If the NFT's of ELEVITCH are not harvested, then harvesting NFT's, e.g. *Albizia* falcataria is taught by DUKE (last paragraph on page 2). Note ELEVITCH teaches that *Alibizia* falcataria is an NFT (page 7, column 2, bottom of the page). DUKE teaches that *Albizia* falcataria could be a pulp source for making paper and teaches that it is easy to chip (mechanical defibration) and yields much pulp with low chemical input. Obviously the *Albizia falcataria* (syn. *Paraserianthes falcataria*) pulp wood of ELEVITCH et al could be mechanically and chemically pulped and then bleached and used to make paper as such is taught by DUKE.

Claims 42-46 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ELEVITCH et al with or without DUKE as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of LESKELA et al.

LESKELA et al (see, claim 2 of LESKELA et al) teaches using a mixture of mechanical hardwood and chemical softwood pulp to produce paper. It would have been obvious to use the mimosa pulp of ELEVITCH and/or DUKE with another type of pulp in the manner taught by LESKELA et al. It would have also been obvious to chemically pulp or mechanically pulp the wood of ELEVITCH and/or DUKE as such are conventional pulping methodsa as taught by LESKELA et al. LESKELA et al further teaches that the pulp can be subjected to a non-chlorine bleaching (column 6, lines 3-4) to further brighten the pulp. It would have been obvious to further brighten the pulp of ELEVITCH et al using the non-chlorine bleaching of LESKELA et al.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/089,518

Art Unit: 1731

Claim 47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ELEVITCH et al with or without DUKE as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of SINGH (the Bleaching of Pulp, pages 29-30).

SINGH teaches chlorination can be used to bleach pulp following pulping. It would have been obvious to use chlorine as the bleaching agent of DUKE as such is conventional in the papermaking art as taught by SINGH (page 29, paragraph 2).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Alvo whose telephone number is 571-272-1185. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00 AM to 2:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1731